Aerosol Synthesis of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi Nanophosphor for Preparation of Photofunctional Pearl Pigment as Security Material

Kyeong Youl Jung**,†, Jang Hoon Han*, Dae Sung Kim**, Byung-Ki Choi***, and Wkang-Jung Kang***

*Department of Chemical Engineering, Kongju National University, Cheonan 31080, Korea
**Eco-composite Materials Center, Korea Institute of Ceramic Engineering and Technology (KICET), Jinju 52851, Korea
***CQV Co., Ltd, Jinchen 27845, Korea

(Received June 7, 2018; Revised August 23, 2018; Accepted August 23, 2018)

ABSTRACT

Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were synthesized via spray pyrolysis and applied for the preparation of a luminescent pearl pigment as an anti-counterfeiting material. The luminescence properties were optimized by changing the Eu$^{3+}$ and Bi$^{3+}$ concentration. Ethylene glycol was used as an organic additive to prepare the Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles. The highest emission intensity was achieved when the total dopant content was 10.0 at.% and the mole fraction of Bi was 0.1. The concentration quenching was mainly due to dipole–dipole interactions between the same activators, and the critical distances were 9.0 and 19.6 Å for Eu$^{3+}$ and Bi$^{3+}$, respectively. The prepared Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi powder exhibited an average size of approximately 82.5 nm and a narrow size distribution. Finally, the Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoporphor coated on the surface of the pearl pigment was confirmed to have good red emission under irradiation from a portable ultraviolet light-emitting diode lamp (365 nm).

Key words: Spray pyrolysis, Nanophosphor, Pearl pigment, Anti-counterfeiting

1. Introduction

As the global economy grows, the counterfeiting of products and important documents raises economic and social issues and is considered as a critical problem that violates the intellectual property rights of companies and confuses the order of the market economy. To overcome this problem, many efforts are dedicated to the development of anti-counterfeiting technologies, including unique markers, holograms, plasmonic labels, and security inks. When introducing a security substance, it is necessary to maintain the function or quality of the products. In addition, to achieve full functionality as an advanced anti-counterfeiting technology, security materials should have various and complex characteristics. One of the most effective ways to prevent counterfeiting is to print a unique security code using materials that are difficult to imitate. Thus, fluorescent materials are effective anti-counterfeiting materials. Lanthanide-based phosphor nanoparticles have attracted considerable attention as potential security materials because they have excellent emission characteristics under ultraviolet (UV) or infrared excitation, good thermal and chemical stability, and easy synthesis.

Pearlescent pigments have various applications, such as specialty paper, printing inks, cosmetics, and automotive paints. Typically, pearl pigments consist of a two-dimensional substrate (e.g., Mica) with a low refractive index and coating layers (e.g., TiO$_2$) with a high refractive index. The pearl effect or color can be controlled by changing the thickness of the high-refractive index coating layer. The pearlescent pigment can be used as a security material owing to its unique sparkling effect or color change depending on the angle of illumination. If the pearlescent pigment is functionalized by a luminescent substance, it can have its own security function without the help of additional anti-counterfeiting marks. Luminescent pearl pigments are expected to be used as next-generation anti-counterfeiting materials. There are two ways to make luminescent pearl pigments. One is to make the pearlescent pigment have its own fluorescent property by doping the luminescent activator, and the other is to coat the surface of the pearl pigment with phosphor nanoparticles having high luminescence. The latter method is easier than the former method. To prevent the degradation of the optical properties of the pearlescent pigment due to the phosphor coating, the phosphor particles should have both a fine size and a high luminescence property. Eu-doped Gd$_2$O$_3$ (Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu) is known to have good red emission owing to the $^5$D$_{0} → ^7$F transition of Eu$^{3+}$ under 254-nm UV excitation. If the nanosize Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu particles themselves are used as a security marking material, the light-emission characteristics can easily be confirmed using a 254-nm portable hand UV lamp. However, as the particle size is reduced to the nanometer level, the emission inten-
sity of the phosphor is greatly reduced. In addition, when a small amount of nanoporphor is coated on a product such as a pearl pigment, a high-power UV lamp is needed to obtain high emission visible to the naked eye. Currently, portable light-emitting diode (LED) lamps with a high output power of 365 nm are commercially available and can be easily utilized as a light source. Therefore, it is more advantageous for the nanoporphors to have high luminescence characteristics under 365-nm UV irradiation than under 254-nm UV irradiation. To control the excitation characteristics, it is necessary to use a sensitizer in the energy transfer with Eu$. For Eu-doped oxides, including $Y_2O_3$, Gd$2O_3$, YVO$3$, and CaZrO$3$, the codopant Bi$^{3+}$ is well known to act as a sensitizer for the energy transfer from Bi$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ under near-UV excitation. Therefore, Eu/Bi-doped oxides show red emission due to the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_{1}$ transition of Eu$^{3+}$ under UV excitation in the range of 300 to 400 nm.

Gd$2O_3$:Eu nanoparticles have been synthesized via the sol-gel, precipitation, and combustion methods. The luminescence properties of phosphors having two or more dopants are strongly influenced by the distribution of activators and sensitizers in the host matrix. As a result, even if the phosphor composition is the same, the luminescence properties can differ depending on the synthesis method. In general, it is necessary to uniformly distribute the dopant throughout the host matrix in order to obtain excellent luminescent characteristics. Thus, spray pyrolysis is considered as a good synthesis method for multi-component functional materials such as phosphor. In spray pyrolysis, one particle is generated from one droplet, and all ingredients within the droplet can be mixed on a molecular level. As a result, spray pyrolysis allows the production of phosphor particles having high crystallinity and a uniform distribution of the dopant without forming an impurity phase. In particular, when spray pyrolysis is applied to the synthesis of phosphor nanoparticles, the codopant Bi$^{3+}$ is well known to act as a sensitizer for the energy transfer from Bi$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ under near-UV excitation. Therefore, Eu/Bi-doped oxides show red emission due to the $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_{1}$ transition of Eu$^{3+}$ under UV excitation in the range of 300 to 400 nm.

Gd$2O_3$:Eu nanoparticles have been synthesized via spray pyrolysis methods. The luminescence properties of phosphors having two or more dopants are strongly influenced by the distribution of activators and sensitizers in the host matrix. As a result, even if the phosphor composition is the same, the luminescence properties can differ depending on the synthesis method. In general, it is necessary to uniformly distribute the dopant throughout the host matrix in order to obtain excellent luminescent characteristics. Thus, spray pyrolysis is considered as a good synthesis method for multi-component functional materials such as phosphor.

### 2. Experimental Procedure

#### 2.1. Materials and synthesis of Gd$2O_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles

Gd$2O_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were prepared via a spray pyrolysis process. Gadolinium (III) oxide (Gd$2O_3$, Alfa, 99.99%), Europium (III) oxide (Eu$2O_3$, Alfa, 99.99%), bismuth (III) nitrate (Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$, Alfa, 98%), and EG (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%) were used as starting materials. The pearl pigment—TiO$_2$-coated mica (CQV Co., Ltd.)—was used as the coating substrate of the nanoporphor. Gd$2O_3$ or Eu$2O_3$ was first dissolved as a nitrate form by using HNO$_3$ with a small amount of water. To prepare the spray solution, purified water was added to the Gd$2O_3$/Eu$2O_3$-dissolved solution, followed by dissolving bismuth nitrate and EG. The total precursor concentration was 0.3 M. The Eu content (x) in (Gd$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$)$_2$O$_3$ was varied from 0.1 to 0.3. The Bi content (y) in (Gd$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$Bi$_y$)$_2$O$_3$ was changed from 0.01 to 0.06 at x = 0.2 or 0.175. The EG concentration was varied from 0.075 M to 0.45 M. Therefore, the EG/Gd molar ratio was adjusted from 0.25 to 1.5 for the 0.3 M Gd solution. The spray pyrolysis equipment used consisted of an aerosol generator, a quartz tube (ID = 50 mm and L = 1,200 mm) and a Teflon bag filter. The prepared spray solution was atomized with an ultrasonic nebulizer (1.7 MHz), and the resulting droplets were carried to the quartz reactor maintained at 900°C with air (40 l/min). The particles produced by drying and pyrolysis were collected by a Teflon bag filter mounted at the end of a quartz reactor and calcined at 1,000°C for 3 h in an air environment.

The prepared Gd$2O_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were coated on the surface of the pearlescent pigment in an aqueous medium. The phosphor powder was dispersed in purified water (200 mL), followed by ultrasonic treatment for 30 min. The pigment powder (3 g) was also dispersed in purified water (300 mL). The pH of each solution was adjusted to 8.0 by adding a diluted ammonia solution. Then, the solution containing phosphor nanoparticles was slowly added to the pigment-dispersed solution with vigorous agitation. The weight percentage of the phosphor nanopowder with respect to the pigment was adjusted from 5.0% to 15.0%. After the mixed solution was agitated for 1 h, the resulting solid powder was withdrawn using a vacuum evaporator and dried in a convection oven at 50°C.
2.2. Characterization

The photoluminescence and optical reflectance spectra were measured using a spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer LS 50) and a UV–visible (UV–vis) spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 2540), respectively. The particle-size distribution was measured using a particle-size analyzer (ELS-Z, Otsuka). The crystal structure, morphology, and microstructure of the prepared Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were investigated via X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku, MiniFlex 600), scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800), and field-emission transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL-2100F), respectively. For pearl pigments coated with Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles, the red emission was confirmed by illumination from a commercially available 365-nm LED lamp.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Luminescence properties of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu

Figure 1 shows the luminescence characteristics of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu phosphors prepared with different Eu contents. The emission was measured at the excitation wavelength of 254 nm, and the excitation was monitored at the emission wavelength of 613 nm. The sharp emission peaks observed ranging from 550 to 750 nm are due to a typical $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) transition of Eu$^{3+}$ ions. In the excitation spectrum (Fig. 1(b)), the strong peak from 230 to 265 nm is due to the charge-transfer band (CTB) of $O^{2-}(2p) \rightarrow$ Eu$^{3+}$ (4f). The shoulder peak around 280 nm is attributed to the $^6S_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4I_9$ transition of Gd$^{3+}$, and the small peak at 315 nm corresponds to the $^6S_{5/2} \rightarrow ^4P_j$ transition of Gd$^{3+}$, which is known as the result of Gd$^{3+}$-Eu$^{3+}$ energy transfer. The weak peaks from 350 to 550 nm are due to the f-f transition of Eu$^{3+}$. Fig. 1(c) shows the dependency of the emission ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 254$ nm) on the Eu concentration. The luminescence intensity reaches a maximum at $x = 0.2$ (10.0 at.%). Referring to previous studies in which Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu was synthesized via sol-gel, precipitation, and combustion methods, the optimum Eu$^{3+}$ content is 7–8%. On the other hand, when Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu$^{3+}$ is synthesized via spray pyrolysis, the optimum Eu$^{3+}$ content for achieving the highest luminescence is 10% in this work. This indicates that a highly uniform distribution of Eu$^{3+}$ in Gd$_2$O$_3$ is achieved for preparation via spray pyrolysis. In general, when the Eu content increases above a critical concentration, concentration quenching of the luminescence intensity occurs as a result of non-radiative energy transfer from one Eu$^{3+}$ ion to another. The non-radiative energy transfer between Eu$^{3+}$ ions can occur through the exchange interaction or multipole–multipole interaction. The interaction mechanism involved in the concentration quenching can be identified via calculation of the critical distance ($R_c$)
between Eu\(^{3+}\) ions\(^{30}\)

\[
R_c = \frac{2}{\left(4\pi V X c N\right)^{1/3}}
\]

(1)

Here, \(V\) and \(N\) are the unit cell volume and the number of sites available for the Eu\(^{3+}\) substitution in the unit cell, respectively. \(X_c\) is the critical mole fraction of Eu\(^{3+}\) substituted at the Gd\(^{3+}\) site of the unit cell. Thus, \(X_c = x/2\) in \((\text{Gd}_{2-x} \text{Eu}_x)\text{O}_3\). Then, the critical distance \((R_c)\) is calculated as approximately 9.1 Å at \(x = 0.2\). Non-radiative energy transfer via the exchange interaction is generally possible when the critical distance is 5 Å or shorter. Therefore, the concentration quenching in the emission intensity of \(\text{Gd}_{2-x}\text{Eu}_x\text{O}_3\) is caused by the multipole–multipole interaction.

3.2. Luminescence optimization of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Eu/Bi\)

Figure 2 shows the excitation and emission spectra of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Bi\), \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Eu\), and \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Eu/Bi\). As shown in Fig. 2(a), \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Bi\) has a strong excitation peak \((\lambda_{\text{max}} = 342\ nm)\) between 300 and 380 nm due to the \(^1\text{S}_0 \rightarrow ^1\text{P}_1\) transition of Bi\(^{3+}\). It shows a broad emission between 400 and 700 nm due to the \(^1\text{P}_1 \rightarrow ^1\text{S}_0\) transition. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the emission spectrum of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Bi\), which was monitored at \(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 342\ nm\), consists of two emission bands with peaks at 420 and 515 nm. This is because Bi\(^{3+}\) ions are located at two different sites (C\(_2\) and S\(_6\)) of the cubic \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3\) host.\(^{36}\) The emission peaks observed at 420 and 515 nm correspond to Bi\(^{3+}\) located at the S\(_6\) and C\(_2\) sites, respectively.

The three excitation peaks of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Eu\) observed between 350 and 580 nm overlap significantly with the emission spectrum of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Bi\). The three excitation peaks of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Eu^{3+}\) observed between 350 and 580 nm overlap significantly with the emission spectrum of \(\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3:Bi^{3+}\), indicating that resonance energy...
transfer from Bi$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ can occur in the Gd$_2$O$_3$ host. In Fig. 2(c), which shows the excitation spectrum of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi measured at the emission wavelength of 612 nm, the peak near 342 nm corresponding to the $^1S_0 \rightarrow ^1P_1$ transition of Bi$^{3+}$ becomes strong, while the CTB of O$^{2−}(2p) \rightarrow$ Eu$^{3+}(4f)$ is greatly reduced. Consequently, the red emission peak of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi under 342-nm excitation is significantly larger than that of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu due to the energy transfer from Bi$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ (Fig. 2(d)).

Figure 3 shows XRD and UV–vis reflectance spectra of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu, Gd$_2$O$_3$:Bi, and Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi particles. In the XRD results, the observed peaks are well matched with the cubic Gd$_2$O$_3$ phase (JCPDS # 12-0797) without an impurity phase, indicating that the activators are homogenously substituted in the host lattice. The crystallite sizes and lattice constants are calculated from the XRD data peak of the (222) phase, and the resulting values are displayed in Fig. 3(b). The lattice constant (a) was calculated using the following equation:

$$d^2 = \frac{a^2}{h^2 + l^2 + k^2} \cdot \frac{d}{\sin \theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $d$ is the interplanar distance, $\lambda$ is the incident wavelength, $\theta$ is the Bragg angle, and $h$, $l$, and $k$ are the Miller indices. The resulting lattice constants are 10.781 Å, 10.804 Å, and 10.801 Å for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu, Gd$_2$O$_3$:Bi, and Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi, respectively. The radii of Eu$^{3+}$ (0.109 nm) and Bi$^{3+}$ (0.117 nm) are similar to that of Gd$^{3+}$ (0.108 nm). Therefore, Eu$^{3+}$, Eu$^{3+}$, and Bi$^{3+}$ can form a solid solution in the Gd$_2$O$_3$ host lattice, which agrees well with the fact that there is no detectable change in the XRD data with the doping of Eu$^{3+}$ and Bi$^{3+}$. The crystallite size decreases slightly with the Bi$^{3+}$ doping, but the lattice constant remains almost unchanged.

According to the UV–vis reflectance spectra (Fig. 3(c)), Gd$_2$O$_3$ has small peaks at 276 and 312 nm due to the $^8S_{7/2} \rightarrow ^6I_{5/2}$ and $^8S_{7/2} \rightarrow ^6P_{7/2}$ transitions of Gd$^{3+}$ ions, respectively. The intense absorption peaks at 255 nm for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu and 267 nm for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi are attributed to the O$^{2−}$–Eu$^{3+}$ charge transfer. Both Gd$_2$O$_3$:Bi and Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi have an intense absorption peak at 340 nm and a shoulder peak around 385 nm, which are due to the $^1S_0 \rightarrow ^1P_1$ transition of Bi$^{3+}$ located at the C$_2$ and S$_6$ sites of Gd$_2$O$_3$, respectively. For the Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi sample, the absorbance and excitation spectra

---

**Figure 3.** (a) XRD patterns, (b) peaks for the (222) plane, and (c) UV–vis absorption spectra for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Bi, Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu, and Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi. (d) comparison of the UV–vis absorption with the excitation spectrum for Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi.
are compared in Fig. 3(d). The absorbance spectrum consists of three main peaks at 267, 340, and 385 nm. These peaks agree well with the excitation peaks.

To maximize the red emission of Gd$_{2-x-y}$O$_3$:Eu$^x$Bi$^y$ due to the energy transfer from Bi$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$, the Bi content (y) was varied at the Eu contents of x = 0.2 and x = 0.175. Additionally, the emission intensity was monitored while changing the ratio of Bi to Eu when the total dopant concentration was fixed at x + y = 0.2. Figs. 4(a)–(c) show the emission spectra obtained under the 340-nm excitation. Fig. 4(d)

![Diagram](image.png)

**Fig. 4.** Luminescence spectra of Gd$_{2-x-y}$O$_3$:Eu$^x$Bi$^y$ particles with the change of the Bi content (y) at x = 0.2 (a), 0.175 (b), and (c) x + y = 0.2. Changes in the emission intensity with respect to the Bi content ((d) $\lambda_{ex} = 342$ nm and (e) $\lambda_{ex} = 254$ nm) and the Bi fraction (f).
shows the emission intensities with respect to the Bi\(^{3+}\) content (y). The optimum Bi\(^{3+}\) content differs slightly depending on the Eu\(^{3+}\) content; however, concentration quenching occurs when the Bi\(^{3+}\) content (y) is greater than or equal to 0.02. According to the results presented thus far, the optimum Eu\(^{3+}\) (x) and Bi\(^{3+}\) (y) contents were determined as x = 0.175 and y = 0.02 in order to maximize the red emission intensity under the 342-nm UV excitation. Fig. 4(e) shows the emission intensity of Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu\(^{3+}\)/Bi\(^{3+}\) under the 254-nm UV excitation. Regardless of the Eu\(^{3+}\) content, the emission intensity due to the O\(^{2-}\) → Eu\(^{3+}\) charge transfer is reduced dramatically with the increase of the Bi\(^{3+}\) content. Fig. 4(f) shows the emission intensity with respect to the Bi fraction. All the data fall well into one volcano curve, in which the emission is maximized at the Bi fraction of 0.1. Therefore, for maximizing the energy-transfer efficiency, the optimum Bi\(^{3+}\) percentage is approximately 10.0% of the total doping quantity.

At a fixed Eu\(^{3+}\) content less than the critical concentration, the probability of energy transfer from Bi\(^{3+}\) to Eu\(^{3+}\) is expected to increase as the Bi\(^{3+}\) concentration increases. Nevertheless, there is luminescence quenching, indicating that non-radiative energy transfer occurs when the Bi\(^{3+}\) content is > 0.02. In Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu\(^{3+}\)/Bi\(^{3+}\), non-radiative energy transfer between Eu\(^{3+}\) ions or between Bi\(^{3+}\) ions is possible. In this study, the Eu\(^{3+}\) concentration used for the Bi co-doping experiments was lower than the critical concentration (x = 0.2). Therefore, it can be assumed that the luminescence quenching is not due to non-radiative energy transfer through dipole–dipole interactions between Eu\(^{3+}\) ions. To confirm this, the plots of ln(I/x) vs. ln(x\(_{\text{Bi+Eu}}\)) and ln(I/y\(_{\text{Bi}}\)) vs. ln(y\(_{\text{Bi}}\)) are shown Figs. 5(a) and (b), respectively. As shown in Fig. 5(a), the slopes are -6.97 and -9.0 for x = 0.2 and x = 0.175, respectively. The resulting Q values are significantly larger than 2. Thus, it is considered that the distance between Eu ions due to the Bi addition becomes larger and the luminescence quenching is not due to the dipole–dipole interaction between Eu\(^{3+}\) ions. On the other hand, in the plot of ln(I/y\(_{\text{Bi}}\)) vs. ln(y\(_{\text{Bi}}\)), all data fall in a straight line, whose slope is approximately -2.28 (Q = 7.08). Therefore, dipole–dipole or dipole–quadrupole interactions between Bi\(^{3+}\) ions are involved in the non-radiative energy transfer. Then, the critical distance between Bi\(^{3+}\) ions, calculated at the critical concentration (y = 0.02), is approximately 19.6 Å.

### 3.3. Synthesis of nanosize Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu/Bi and luminescent pearl pigments

To prepare Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu/Bi nanoparticles, EG was added to the precursor solution. The luminescence intensity monitored by varying the mole ratio of EG to Gd in the precursor solution is shown in Fig. 6. The emission intensity decreases slightly with the increase of the EG/Gd ratio. Compared with the sample prepared without EG, the samples pre-

![Fig. 5](image1.png)

![Fig. 6](image2.png)

**Fig. 5.** Plots of (a) ln(I/x\(_{\text{Bi+Eu}}\)) vs. ln(x\(_{\text{Bi+Eu}}\)) and (b) ln(I/y\(_{\text{Bi}}\)) vs. ln(y\(_{\text{Bi}}\)) for Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu/Bi phosphor.

**Fig. 6.** Emission intensity of Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu/Bi phosphor prepared via spray pyrolysis with respect to the EG/Gd mole ratio.
pared at EG/Gd = 1.25 have approximately 30% lower intensity. The changes in the particle morphology and size of the Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi powder prepared by changing the EG/Gd mole ratio were monitored via SEM, and the results are shown in Fig. 7. When no EG was used, the prepared particles had a spherical morphology and a size of 1–2 μm. When EG was used, the microsize particles were turned into nanosize particles. This change in morphology and size occurred because the EG additive used had a significant impact on the formation of particles in the spray pyrolysis process. The influence of organic additives on particle formation mechanism in spray pyrolysis processes is well described in the prior literature. When droplets pass through the hot reactor, the water evaporation occurs rapidly and increases the salt concentration. Owing to the rapid drying, the salt concentration at the surface of the droplet first reaches the

Fig. 7. SEM images of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi particles prepared by changing the EG/Gd mole ratio.
supercritical point. As a result, surface precipitation occurs, and a thin shell with a precursor solution is formed. The boiling point of EG is higher than that of water. Thus, EG does not evaporate while water is evaporating. As a result, EG remains in the shell layer with the precipitated salts and makes the precipitated layer sticky and supple. Evaporation of additional water or the generation of pyrolysis gas increases the internal pressure, inflating the initially formed shell layer similar to a balloon. After drying and pyrolysis are completed, the produced particles have a hollow structure with a thin layer. Finally, the post-calcination crystallizes the thin layer into less agglomerated nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 7, no significant change in the primary particle size was observed by increasing the EG/Gd ratio. Fig. 8 shows TEM images of the Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles synthesized at the EG/Gd mole ratio of 1.25. To measure the particle-size distribution, the produced Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were dispersed in water and treated by ultrasonic pulverization. The inset of Fig. 8(a) shows the particle-size distribution. The pulverized powder has a narrow size distribution with a full width at half maximum of approximately 40 nm, indicating that the aggregation between the primary particles is weak and can be easily disintegrated by simple ultrasonic pulverization. The average particle size is approximately 82.5 nm, which agrees well with the TEM result. The high-resolution TEM image shown in Fig. 8(b) indicates that the Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi particles have high crystallinity and a lattice spacing of 0.314 nm, corresponding to the (222) crystal planes of cubic Gd$_2$O$_3$. Figs. 8(d)–(g) show the element mapping images, indicating that the elements Eu and Bi are uniformly distributed in the Gd$_2$O$_3$ host.

The prepared Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were coated on the surface of plate-shaped pearl substrates (TiO$_2$-coated alumina). Fig. 9(a) shows SEM photos of the resulting pearl powder prepared by varying the coating weight of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles from 5 to 15 wt.%. The SEM results confirmed that the phosphor nanoparticles were well-distributed on the surface of the pearl substrates, as shown in the schematic diagram of Fig. 9(b). The emission properties of pearl pigments coated with Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were measured under the 365-nm UV excitation, and the resulting spectra are shown in Fig. 9(c). The prepared pearl powder coated with Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles has good red emission, and its emission intensity increases linearly with respect to the coating weight of Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles. Fig. 9(d) shows the “KNU” logo printed on a black plastic panel using pearl pigments coated with the prepared Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles. No significant difference was observed in the pearlescent logo under natural light. Therefore, the presence of the fluorescent nanoparticles cannot be detected under normal natural light. On the other hand, the pearlescent logo showed red color under the illumination of a portable UV LED lamp ($\lambda_{\text{em}} = 365$ nm), and its red luminescence became more intense as the coating weight increased. Given this, the pearl logo prepared using pearl pigments coated with Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles has high red emission that is visible to the naked eye, indicating that the pearl logo has its own anti-counterfeiting function without additional security markers such as quick-response codes.

4. Conclusions

Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were synthesized via spray pyrolysis using EG as an organic additive, and the lumines-
cence properties were optimized by changing the Eu and Bi concentrations. Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi was found to have significantly enhanced red emission compared with Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu owing to the energy transfer from Bi$^{3+}$ to Eu$^{3+}$ under 365-nm UV excitation. For obtaining the highest emission intensity, the optimum Eu$^{3+}$ and Bi$^{3+}$ contents were determined as $x = 0.175$ and $y = 0.02$ in Gd$_{2-x-y}$O$_3$:Eu$_x$/Bi$_y$. The use of EG made it possible to produce Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles with no significant aggregation, and the resulting powders had a size of approximately 82.5 nm and a narrow size distribution. The prepared Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles were coated on the surface of the pearl pigment, and the resulting powder was found to have sufficiently high red emission to be visible to the naked eye under a 365-nm portable LED lamp. Therefore, it was concluded that the optimized Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu/Bi nanoparticles prepared via spray pyrolysis have excellent luminescence properties and can be applied for the production of luminescent pearl pigments having their own anti-counterfeiting function.
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